

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO	
09/890,066	07	//26/2001	Kazuto Nishida	2001-1055A 5756		
513	7590	10/27/2004	•	EXAMINER		
		O & PONACK, L.	HARAN, JOHN T			
2033 K STREET N. W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1733		

DATE MAILED: 10/27/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advison, Action	09/890,066	NISHIDA ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	John T. Haran	1733	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 04 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to aviginal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applic) a timely filed amendment whic	ation. A proper reply	/ to a
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
 a)	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TI	ng date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION.	on. See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.136(a). The feet and the content is the content of the content is the content of the	of extension and the corresponding amoust the shortened statutory period for reply see later than three months after the main	ount of the fee. The appro	opriate extension
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>04 October 2004</u>. A 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF 	੨ 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	thin the period set for of the appeal.	rth in
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further		see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	•		•
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sim	plifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancelli	ng a corresponding number of fi	inally rejected claims	•
NOTE:		,	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would			
canceling the non-allowable claim(s).			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consi	dered but does NOT	place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims wo	(s) a)⊡ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo	⊠ will be entered ar w or appended.	ıd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: 98 and 99.			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 72-80, 82-96, 100-105, 107, 125-13	33, and 135-142.		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 109-124.			
8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ appro	oved or b) disapproved by th	ne Examiner.	,
 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen 	t(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). fil	led 8/5/04.	
0. ☐ Other: note attached 892 form			

Application/Control Number: 09/890,066

Art Unit: 1733

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's traversal of the election by original presentation is not found persuasive because claim 109 has the claimed special technical feature that the same device performs the shaping step and bonding step whereas claim 72 does not claim such. All other common technical features between claims 72 and 109 are known as evidenced by Nishida (WO 98/30073) in view of Higashi et al (EP 0834919 A2).

Applicant's discussion of subdevices is not found persuasive and raises the issue that claim 109 either has a new matter issue or an indefiniteness issue.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 8/5/04 was filed after the mailing date of the final office action on 4/2/04. The submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 10/4/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues the obviousness rejection of the claims is not valid because Higashi et al (U.S. Patent 6,207,549) is not available as prior art it is only available under 102(e) and applicant can assert common ownership with the reference and the

Application/Control Number: 09/890,066

Art Unit: 1733

application at the time the application was filed. However, Higashi was cited as an example of what is well known and conventional and therefore can be replaced with another reference teaching the same and finality maintained. Accordingly, Higashi et al (EP 0834919 A2), which is the EP version of the U.S. Patent, is cited in place of the U.S. Patent and is available under 102(a). All reference to Highashi in the final rejection of the claims is now directed to EP 0834919 A2. Finality is maintained and this is not considered a new grounds of rejection. SEE MPEP 2144.03 D.

It is also noted that Applicant is considered to have acquiesced to all issues taken as well known and conventional in the final office action, pursuant to MPEP 2144.03 C, because no traversals were made.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 98-99 are allowed for the reasons indicated in paragraph 21 of the final office action mailed on 4/2/04.

Conclusion

- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **John T. Haran** whose telephone number is **(571) 272-1217**. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (8 5) and alternate Fridays.
- If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Blaine Copenheaver can be reached on (571) 272-1156. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/890,066

Art Unit: 1733

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

John T. Haran

Examiner Art Unit 1733